

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

---

Ray Nicholson,

Plaintiff,

v.

The Law Offices of Kevin Z. Shine, PLLC;  
and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

---

:  
:  
: Civil Action No.: 1:12-CV-0217 (MAD/DRH)  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**COMPLAINT**

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Ray Nicholson, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

**JURISDICTION**

1. This action arises out of the Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of the Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and their agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.

2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

**PARTIES**

4. The Plaintiff, Ray Nicholson ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Catskill, New York, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant The Law Offices of Kevin Z. Shine, PLLC ("Kevin Z. Shine"), is a

New York business entity with an address of 8203 Main Street, Suite 1o, Williamsville, New York 14221-6051, operating as a collection agency, and is a “debt collector” as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

6. Does 1-10 (the “Collectors”) are individual collectors employed by Kevin Z. Shine and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.

7. Kevin Z. Shine at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

### **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

#### **A. The Debt**

8. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation (the “Debt”) to a creditor (the “Creditor”).

9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Kevin Z. Shine for collection, or Kevin Z. Shine was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

#### **B. Kevin Z. Shine Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics**

12. Within the last year, Kevin Z. Shine contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.

13. Plaintiff offered to make monthly payments of \$21.00 to Kevin Z. Shine.

14. Kevin Z. Shine refused to accept Plaintiff's offer and requested that Plaintiff make two payments in the amount of \$75.00 each in October, 2011, and pay the remaining \$1,875.00 in December, 2011.

15. When Plaintiff mentioned he did not have enough funds, Kevin Z. Shine suggested that Plaintiff borrow money from his retirement plan.

16. Kevin Z. Shine threatened to garnish Plaintiff's wages if Plaintiff failed to comply with the above-mentioned settlement plan.

17. In January, 2012, Plaintiff received a voice message from Jason Main, an employee of Kevin Z. Shine, requesting that Plaintiff return Mr. Main's call immediately due to "very little time left" to settle the account.

18. Mr. Main implied that a lawsuit might be filed against Plaintiff by stating that Plaintiff could incur court and attorney fees if he failed to pay the Debt.

19. Kevin Z. Shine failed to inform Plaintiff of his rights under the state and federal laws by written correspondence within 5 days after the initial communication, including the right to dispute the Debt.

### **C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages**

20. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.

21. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

**COUNT I**  
**VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA - 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.**

22. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.

24. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.

25. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4) in that Defendants threatened the Plaintiff with garnishment if the Debt was not paid.

26. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) in that Defendants threatened to take legal action, without actually intending to do so.

27. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.

28. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the amount of the Debt.

29. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the name of the original creditor to whom the Debt was owed.

30. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to dispute the Debt within thirty days.

31. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice informing the Plaintiff of a right to have verification and judgment mailed to the Plaintiff.

32. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to request the name and address of the original creditor.

33. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.

34. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

**COUNT II**  
**VIOLATIONS OF NEW YORK GBL § 349**  
**ENGAGING IN UNLAWFUL DECEPTIVE PRACTICES AND ACTS**

35. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.

36. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants and complained of herein constitute "deceptive acts and practices" within the meaning of Article 22A of the General Business Law of the State of New York, NY GBL § 349.

37. The Defendants willfully and knowingly engaged in conduct constituting deceptive acts and practices in violation of NY GBL§ 349.

38. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the foregoing acts and practices, including damages associated with, among other things, humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment caused by the Defendants.

39. By virtue of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover actual damages,

trebled, together with reasonable attorneys' fees.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be awarded in the Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendants as follows:

1. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
2. Against each of the named the Defendants, awarding the Plaintiff statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
3. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages, trebled, pursuant to NY GBL § 349;
4. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff recovery of the costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
5. Against the named the Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff punitive damages in such amount as is found appropriate; and
6. Granting the Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

**TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS**

Dated: February 1, 2012

Respectfully submitted,  
By /s/ Sergei Lemberg  
Sergei Lemberg (SL 6331)  
LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C.  
1100 Summer Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Stamford, CT 06905  
Telephone: (203) 653-2250  
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424  
Attorneys for Plaintiff